

**STATE REVOLVING FUND
INTENDED USE PLAN**

STATE OF OREGON
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2001:
JULY 1, 2000 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2001

Prepared by:

Clean Water State Revolving Fund Program
Water Quality Division
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
811 S.W. Sixth Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97204-1390

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
GOALS	1
LONG TERM PROGRAM GOALS	1
SHORT TERM PROGRAM GOALS	2
PRELIMINARY APPLICATIONS	2
PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS	3
TABLE OF APPLICANT PROJECTS	16
ELIGIBLE PROJECTS IN PRIORITY ORDER: PRIORITY LIST	21
PRIORITY RANKING CRITERIA	23
FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR LOANS	25
PROJECTED FUNDS AVAILABLE TO LOAN	25
CAPITALIZATION FUNDS	26
INVESTMENT EARNINGS	26
LOAN REPAYMENTS	26
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE	27
LOAN ALLOCATIONS	27
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW	28
GOVERNOR'S DISCRETIONARY FUND	29
OPERATING AGREEMENT	29
PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES	29
PUBLIC NOTICE	30
MAILING LISTS	30

INTRODUCTION

This Intended Use Plan (IUP) is a document prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to describe how Oregon plans to use the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) during the state fiscal year 2001 (July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001). The Oregon Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) Loan Program continues to offer below market interest rate loans to public agencies for planning, design and construction of three kinds of water pollution abatement projects:

- 1) wastewater collection, treatment and disposal systems,
- 2) nonpoint source water pollution control projects, and
- 3) the implementation of management plans for federally designated estuaries.

Loans are available at approximately two-thirds of the municipal bond rate with a one-time loan fee of 1.5% and an annual servicing fee of 0.5% during a repayment period of up to twenty years. To assist communities through the planning stages of a project, facility planning loans are available at one-half of the municipal bond rate with a five-year repayment period. Most loans are secured with wastewater service fee revenues although some communities pledge other forms of security.

All eligible projects submitting preliminary applications are listed on the List of Eligible Projects in Priority Order ("Priority List"). However, no funds are committed or reserved for individual projects until final applications are received and approved, indicating the project's readiness to proceed. Projects that are ready to proceed are then funded in priority order. This practice has created a significant increase in the pace of the use of funds during the past few years.

Although allocating funds only to projects that are ready to proceed does result in some projects being funded ahead of higher priority projects, the high level of demand has continued to make the process competitive. All funded projects have been critical to the protection or restoration of water quality in Oregon. We are currently unable to fund all of the projects that are ready to proceed and expect this situation to continue.

GOALS

LONG TERM PROGRAM GOALS

- Goal #1: To protect public health and the waters of the state by offering financial assistance for water pollution control projects.
- Goal #2: To provide financial assistance for the cost of complying with federal and state water quality mandates.
- Goal #3: To administer the Clean Water State Revolving Fund to ensure its financial integrity, viability and perpetuity as a source of financial assistance.

SHORT TERM PROGRAM GOALS

- Goal #1: To continue working toward achieving and maintaining the revolving nature of the Fund and to maintain an adequate pace of disbursements compared to receipt of new funds and loan repayments.
- Goal #2: To provide funding to local communities to the maximum extent possible within the constraints of sound financial management.
- Goal #3: To facilitate the implementation of non-point source projects through use of the program's funds through development of 2 mechanisms: 1) local government revolving loan funds; 2) linked deposits.
- Goal #4: To expand leveraging of the program through participation with other state and federal programs.
- Goal #5: To enable tribal governments to participate in the program.

PRELIMINARY APPLICATIONS

A letter soliciting preliminary applications for Clean Water State Revolving Fund loans was sent to all cities, service districts and sanitary districts during the Winter of 2000. This letter was also sent to watershed councils, irrigation districts, National Estuary Program staff, and other groups concerned with nonpoint source pollution and estuary management. Public agencies were encouraged to return a completed preliminary application to DEQ for all eligible projects regardless of the project schedule or applicant status.

Preliminary application projects were added to the existing Priority List. Twenty-three new preliminary applications from sixteen different applicants, totaling \$34,532,450, were received. All but three applicants are communities or districts of 5,000 or less in population. Projects from these Small Communities totaled \$11,162,950. The five facility planning projects totaled \$400,500.

The Priority List now includes 115 projects from 77 communities or districts for a total of \$206 million in requested funding. Of this amount \$137 million in requests are for projects that are located on waterbodies with special sensitivity or designation – such as streams with Total Maximum Daily Load allocations; waterbodies not supporting beneficial uses; designated wellhead protection areas; estuaries with sensitive habitat; or waters supporting threatened or endangered species – and address a problem of noncompliance with environmental regulations. Another \$15 million are located on these special waterbodies, but are currently in compliance with environmental regulations. (These may have documented health hazards, however.) Of the projects not on special waterbodies, \$43 million are addressing noncompliance with environmental regulations. This leaves only \$10 million or 5% of the \$206 million in requests as low priority projects – those which are not on a special needs waterbody and do not address noncompliance with

environmental regulations. 95% of the amount requested is for waterbodies with special needs and/or address existing, documented environmental problems.

Following is a brief description of each new project, organized in alphabetical order. New preliminary applications are identified with a project number ending in “-01.” Communities with populations of 5,000 or less are indicated as eligible for Small Community reserve funds.

A table listing all projects in alphabetical order follows the project descriptions. This table includes information on the EPA Project Needs Categories, the discharge requirements, and the project schedule. A second table lists the projects in priority order with scores.

FISCAL YEAR 2001 PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS

10200-00	<i>City of Adair Village (Benton County)</i>	\$ 280,000
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community		
Inflow and infiltration correction to eliminate sewage bypasses during heavy rains.		
Discharges to the Willamette River, which is habitat for endangered fish.		
10860-99	<i>Alsea County Service District (Benton County)</i>	\$150,000
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community		
Final design and construction of a community-side storm water collection system. See Management Program, Section 4.5.		
1179-97	<i>City of Astoria (Clatsop County)</i>	\$16,000,000
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project		
Combined sewer overflow control including system optimization, storage separation and treatment.		
1620-97	<i>City of Boardman (Morrow County)</i>	\$2,600,000
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community		
The City intends to expand the capacity of their wastewater treatment and disposal system and address age-related deficiencies in existing collection, lagoon and irrigation systems.		
19150-00	<i>Bunker Hill Sanitary District (Coos Bay Area, Coos County)</i>	\$ 385,000
Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community		
Provide sanitary sewers and a sewage lift station for the Sleepy Hollow area to address contamination from failing septic tanks and drainfields. Protective of endangered oyster beds in the tidelands, and the mid and lower bay. See Management Program, Section 3.26.		
20330-01	<i>Camellia Park Sanitary District (Curry County)</i>	\$40,000
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community		
Electrical upgrade; Electrical and filter work at sewer plant; new 100' by 100' leach field installation to bypass existing failed leach field.		

2191A-97	<i>Charleston Sanitary District (Coos County)</i>	\$4,000,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project; Small Community Design and construct wastewater treatment facility for the Charleston Sanitary District.	
2191A-99	<i>Charleston Sanitary District (Coos County)</i>	\$45,000
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan; Small Community Review sewage treatment options for the District; identify most feasible option and prepare a facility plan that will position the District to proceed.	
2191B-99	<i>Charleston Sanitary District (Coos County)</i>	\$130,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project; Small Community Redesign Pump Station number 1, correct structural problems and install two submersible pumps.	
2203B-97	<i>Chemult Sanitary District (Klamath County)</i>	\$2,300,000
Sec. 212	Planning, Design and Construction; Small Community Construct a sewage treatment facility which, if constructed, will eliminate the current drinking water quality problems from contamination of the aquifer by the current substandard septic systems.	
23040-99	<i>City of Coburg (Lane County)</i>	\$8,000,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community Design and construction of conventional gravity wastewater collection and treatment system (initial system) for the City.	
24190-01	<i>City of Coos Bay (Coos County)</i>	\$36,000
Sec. 212	Design Engineering drawings and specifications for Pumping Station Number 3, which was last rebuilt in 1975.	
24191-01	<i>City of Coos Bay (Coos County)</i>	\$52,000
Sec. 212	Construction 500 linear feet of 10 inch diameter sanitary sewerline in Blossom Gulch area, an area of high I & I with disintegrating pipe.	
24192-01	<i>City of Coos Bay (Coos County)</i>	\$170,000
Sec. 212	Construction Construction of Pump Station Number 7, last rebuilt in 1983.	
24193-01	<i>City of Coos Bay (Coos County)</i>	\$62,000
Sec. 212	Construction 620 linear feet of 10 inch diameter sanitary sewer line on Walnut Avenue between 8 th and 10 th streets. This line has high I & I, cracked sections, settlement and failed previous lining.	
24200-01	<i>Coos County (Coos County)</i>	\$1,000,000

Sec. 319 and 320 Design and Construction; Small Community
Establishment of a sub-revolving fund through the County which would allow individuals to make needed repairs/replacements of failing on-site systems. See Management Program, Section 3.26 (Coos Bay shellfish areas). See Management Program, Section 3.25 (Tenmile Lakes).

24292-01 City of Coquille (Coos County) \$7,500,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
Design and construction of a new wastewater treatment facility to meet waste load allocations and total daily mass load limits for the Coquille River.

24293-01 City of Coquille (Coos County) \$100,000

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community
Revisions to the facilities plan completed in 1993.

24685-01 Cove Orchard Sewer Service District (Yamhill County) \$35,000

Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community
Add additional manholes to assist with I and I control.

24680-99 City of Cove (Union County) \$200,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
Purchase additional land for irrigation and install pipes and pumps for sprinkling.

25130-00 Crescent Sanitary District (Klamath County) \$2,500,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community
Design and construct gravity collection system, treatment and storage lagoons, and effluent irrigation facilities; to address failing septic systems and high nitrates in the groundwater.

25140-99 City of Creswell (Lane County) \$6,000,000

Sec. 212 Planning, Design and Construction; Small Community
Planning, design and construction of a wastewater treatment facility, outfall and I & I improvements.

29500-00 City of Dufur (Wasco County) \$300,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
Land applied effluent disposal system, including piping, pumps, storage pond and effluent disposal irrigation system.

35540-00 Gardiner Sanitary District (Douglas County) \$21,975

Sec. 212 Facilities Planning Project; Small Community
Wastewater collection system cleaning and television inspection for inflow and infiltration identification. Effluent goes to the Umpqua River through Reedsport's treatment plant.

35611-00	<i>City of Garibaldi (Tillamook County)</i>	\$3,750,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project; Small Community Replacement of aging system with new treatment plant, new influent pumping facilities and an extension of the outfall line to protect Tillamook Bay, a National Estuary. See Management Program, Section 3.26	
3745A-00	<i>City of Glendale (Douglas County)</i>	\$60,000
Sec. 212	Facilities Planning Project; Small Community Develop a wastewater facilities plan to implement a Mutual Agreement and Order with DEQ to eliminate sewage bypasses and protect Cow Creek, a water quality limited stream.	
3745B-00	<i>City of Glendale (Douglas County)</i>	\$1,100,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project; Small Community Rehabilitate the current wastewater facilities to eliminate sewage bypasses and implement a Mutual Agreement and Order with DEQ.	
38351-01	<i>Government Camp Sanitary District (Clackamas County)</i>	\$25,000
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan; Small Community Rate study to set new District fees and charges to ensure coverage of O,M, R and debt service. This is a continuation of work on the existing Facilities Plan for the new treatment system design and construction work currently financed with CWSRF funds.	
3867B-95	<i>City of Grants Pass (Josephine County)</i>	\$7,000,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Sewerage system improvements to include: New digester, aeration upgrades, disinfection capacity, biosolids disposal and collection system upgrade	
4035-97	<i>City of Haines (Baker County)</i>	\$150,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community Inflow and infiltration correction; lagoons have been near overflowing, necessitating use of irrigation system in winter. This irrigation causes surface run-off to the Powder River, a 303(d) stream.	
40351-01	<i>City of Haines (Baker County)</i>	\$75,000
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan; Small Community Evaluate sewage collection, treatment and disposal alternatives.	
40352-01	<i>City of Haines (Baker County)</i>	\$750,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community Construction of appropriate alternatives as determined by facilities plan.	
41901-99	<i>City of Harrisburg (Linn County)</i>	\$4,400,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community I & I correction work and modifications to raw sewage pump station and lagoon treatment facility.	

4463A-99	<i>City of Hines (Harney County)</i>	\$20,000
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan; Small Community Facilities plan for improvements to treatment facility	
4463B-99	<i>City of Hines (Harney County)</i>	\$2,513,050
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community Improvements to sewage treatment facility, to upgrade present flood irrigation system.	
47570-99	<i>City of Imbler (Union County)</i>	\$50,000
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan; Small Community Facilities plan for City wastewater treatment facility; there is no existing centralized treatment system.	
4774B-99	<i>City of Irrigon (Morrow County)</i>	\$2,403,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community A new effluent disposal method is needed to achieve compliance with DEQ regulations; existing wastewater discharge is to the groundwater through rapid infiltration basins. Monitoring shows that denitrification performance is poor at times.	
5260A-99	<i>Klamath Tribes (Klamath County)</i>	\$50,000
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan; Small Community Facilities plan for a wastewater treatment facility. Currently, sewage is shipped by truck to a facility located about an hour away.	
5260B-99	<i>Klamath Tribes (Klamath County)</i>	\$1,260,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community Design and construction of a sewage treatment facility, to avoid the need to store and ship sewage to another location for treatment.	
5620A-00	<i>City of Lebanon (Linn County)</i>	\$1,384,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project Install a fourth clarifier at the wastewater treatment plant to reduce suspended solids and provide adequate capacity during wet weather storm events.	
5620B-00	<i>City of Lebanon (Linn County)</i>	\$311,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project Downtown Sewer Separation (Phase II): disconnect storm inlets and roof drains from the sanitary sewer. Replace the sanitary main and construct a new storm main. This project is to eliminate secondary treatment bypasses during storms.	
5620C-00	<i>City of Lebanon (Linn County)</i>	\$216,320
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project Construct outfall diffuser to create improved mixing at the South Santiam River discharge point and to meet new NPDES permit requirements for chlorine and temperature.	

5751A-01	<i>City of Lincoln City (Lincoln County)</i>	<i>\$170,500</i>
Sec. 212 Facilities Plan		
Facilities plan for collection system and wastewater treatment plant for 20 year projected growth and sewerage of existing non-sewered areas. See Management Program, Section 3.26 (Siletz Bay). See Management Program, Section 3.25 (Devils Lake).		
5751B-01	<i>City of Lincoln City (Lincoln County)</i>	<i>\$19,129,000</i>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Interim plant improvements (WAS thickening, disinfection control improvements, septage/vactor receiving station); pump station improvements to minimize potential for SSO's; improvements for I&I reduction and sewerage of areas within UGB currently on septic tanks; design and construction of a wastewater treatment plant.		
59220-99	<i>City of Lowell (Lane County)</i>	<i>\$85,000</i>
Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community		
Complete facilities plan for collection and treatment system.		
6299A-99	<i>Marion County Public Works (Marion County)</i>	<i>\$50,000</i>
Sec. 212 & 319 Facilities Plan		
Facilities plan for development of a water quality enhancement system consisting of grassy swales, poplar trees and constructed wetlands. See Management Program, Section 4.5.		
6299B-99	<i>Marion County Public Works (Marion County)</i>	<i>\$2,100,000</i>
Sec. 212 & 319 Design and Construction		
Design and construction of water quality enhancement system, including grassy swales, poplar trees and constructed wetlands. See Management Program, Section 4.5.		
63960-01	<i>City of Maywood Park (Multnomah County)</i>	<i>\$30,000</i>
Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community		
Facilities plan of feasibility of construction of sewers within the City.		
6169A-99	<i>City of McMinnville (Yamhill County)</i>	<i>\$2,200,000</i>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
One mile long, 54-inch pipeline, in Yamhill Trunkline, that will eliminate some bypasses through increasing hydraulic capacity and replacing old pipe.		
6169B-99	<i>City of McMinnville (Yamhill County)</i>	<i>\$1,200,000</i>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		
Water Reclamation Facility Improvements – mechanical bar screen and diversion structure modification plus additional U.V. disinfection capacity.		
6169C-99	<i>City of McMinnville (Yamhill County)</i>	<i>\$300,000</i>
Sec. 212 Design and Construction		

LaFayette Avenue Sewer Repairs – Replacement and/or rehabilitation of various sewers in both the public right-of-way and private property to reduce I & I.

6169D-99 *City of McMinnville (Yamhill County)* **\$500,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

48-Inch Brick Sewer – Rehabilitation or possible replacement of old brick sewer trunkline.

6169E-99 *City of McMinnville (Yamhill County)* **\$300,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

Evans Street Sewer Repairs – Various replacement and/or rehabilitation of existing sewers in both public right-of-way and private property to reduce I & I.

6412A-97 *City of Medford (Jackson County)* **\$400,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan

Facilities plan for a water reuse project, titled the Water Reclamation Project.

6412B-97 *City of Medford (Jackson County)* **\$21,600,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

Water Reclamation Project – a water reuse project, intended to reduce discharge of waste water during summer months when water flows are at their lowest and water temperature is at its highest. This project would build storage lagoons, pump stations, secondary clarifiers, grit tanks, two new primary clarifiers, and improve and expand other existing facilities such as the chlorine contact basin.

64631-00 *City of Merrill (Klamath County)* **\$3,130,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Construct a new facultative lagoon system, pump stations, force main, effluent irrigation system and other improvements to eliminate discharge to the Lost River, which is water quality limited.

6484A-97 *City of Metolius (Jefferson County)* **\$50,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community

Facilities plan for a wastewater enhancement project to increase capacity.

6484B-97 *City of Metolius (Jefferson County)* **\$1,450,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Design and construction of a wastewater enhancement project, to increase capacity. System is currently operating at 400,000 gallons over available capacity.

64840-99 *Metropolitan Wastewater Management Commission
(Lane County)* **\$3,000,000**

Sec. 212 Construction

Acquisition of property for a dedicated biosolids land application site.

- 6523A-00** ***Miles Crossing/Jeffer's Gardens (Astoria Area, Clatsop County)*** **\$50,000**
 Sec. 212 Facilities Planning Project; Small Community
 Develop a wastewater facilities plan for a community wastewater collection, treatment and disposal system to address failing septic tanks and contamination of Young's River, which supports endangered fish.
- 6523B-00** ***Miles Crossing/Jeffer's Gardens (Astoria Area, Clatsop County)*** **\$3,068,191**
 Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community
 Develop a community wastewater collection, treatment and disposal system to address failing septic tanks and contamination of Young's River, which supports endangered fish.
- 6558-97** ***City of Milton-Freewater (Umatilla County)*** **\$2,000,000**
 Sec. 212 Design and Construction
 Renovation of mechanical treatment plant to bring into compliance with NPDES permit requirements and increase capacity of plant and land application processes.
- 65580-99** ***City of Milwaukie (Clackamas County)*** **\$7,600,000**
 Sec. 212 Design and Construction
 Extension of sanitary sewer collection facilities including force main and lift stations in the unsewered areas east of City of Milwaukie.
- 66790-00** ***City of Moro (Sherman County)*** **\$20,000**
 Sec. 212 Facilities Planning Project; Small Community
 Evaluate condition and propose a plan to upgrade the city's non-discharging wastewater lagoon.
- 6798B-97** ***City of Myrtle Creek (Douglas County)*** **\$6,750,000**
 Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
 Design and construction of a treatment plant, and correction of inflow and infiltration, to bring facility into compliance with current and probable changes in the City's NPDES permit.
- 6798-91** ***City of Myrtle Point (Coos County)*** **\$5,143,895**
 Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
 Design and construction of Myrtle Point wastewater treatment system.
- 68651-01** ***Neskowin Regional Sanitary Authority (Tillamook County)*** **\$88,200**
 Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community
 Connect 18 septic tank systems to main line at Hawk Creek Hills, per mandatory 200-foot hookup ordinance. This project does not qualify for CWSRF funding under current Oregon rules, however the DEQ is exploring program changes that would allow financial assistance to such projects in the future.
- 68652-01** ***Neskowin Regional Sanitary Authority (Tillamook County)*** **\$294,750**
 Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community
 Main line extension on Los Angeles Street (approximately one mile).

69640-01	<i>City of North Plains (Washington County)</i>	\$20,000
Sec. 319	Non-Point Source; Small Community	
	Well abandonment to protect drinking water well; well is located in wellhead protection area of another well that is used as a drinking water source. The project purpose is to prevent contamination of the abandoned well and surrounding groundwater aquifer from a hazardous waste site nearby. Contamination of the abandoned well could jeopardize the quality of the existing drinking water well. See Management Program, Section 3.22.	
6963A-97	<i>City of North Powder (Baker County)</i>	\$70,000
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan; Small Community	
	Facilities plan for wastewater system improvements.	
6963B-97	<i>City of North Powder (Baker County)</i>	\$1,000,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community	
	Improvements to wastewater treatment system – there have been numerous permit violations as well as in-stream violations.	
6998-97	<i>City of Nyssa (Malheur County)</i>	\$1,060,000
Sec. 212	Construction; Small Community	
	Improvements to wastewater treatment facilities. There have been numerous violations of the NPDES permit. Improvements would include modification of the existing aeration basin, improvement of the headworks and lift stations, construction of a new secondary clarifier and construction of a new chlorine contact basin.	
7003A-97	<i>City of Oakridge (Lane County)</i>	\$70,000
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan; Small Community	
	Comprehensive drainage basin study and storm drain recommendation. See Management Program, Section 4.5.	
7003B-97	<i>City of Oakridge (Lane County)</i>	\$120,000
Sec. 212	Construction; Small Community	
	Insitu PVC liner for deteriorating sewer lines, to relieve seasonal over taxing of sewer treatment plant.	
7061B-97	<i>City of Ontario (Malheur County)</i>	\$100,000
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan	
	Sanitary sewer collection system master plan	
7061C-97	<i>City of Ontario (Malheur County)</i>	\$175,000
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan	
	Storm water master plan. See Management Program, Section 4.5.	
7061D-97	<i>City of Ontario (Malheur County)</i>	\$200,000
Sec. 212	Design	

Design of inflow and infiltration elimination system, to alleviate flows up to 500,000 gallons per day during storm events.

73270-99	<i>City of Philomath (Benton County)</i>	\$380,000
Sec. 212	Construction; Small Community	
	Correction of infiltration and inflow. Lagoons are approaching capacity during summer storage. I & I work is necessary to extend the hydrologic life of the lagoons.	
7395A-99	<i>Polk County Community Development (Polk County)</i>	\$25,000
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan; Small Community	
	Facilities plan for treatment facility for rural unincorporated community	
7395B-99	<i>Polk County Community Development (Polk County)</i>	\$225,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community	
	Design and construction of sewer treatment facility for small, rural unincorporated community, to alleviate problem of failing septic systems.	
74350-01	<i>City of Powers (Coos County)</i>	\$580,000
Sec. 212	Construction; Small Community	
	Sewer line repairs, for I & I correction.	
7442-97	<i>City of Prairie City (Grant County)</i>	\$1,110,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community	
	Collection system rehabilitation, main lift station improvements, and irrigation system rehabilitation. Sewage is bypassing into the John Day River.	
77740-00	<i>City of Rivergrove (Multnomah County)</i>	\$1,000,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project; Small Community	
	Construct sewage collection system to address failing septic systems and to protect the groundwater in a designated wellhead protection area (pending). See Management Program, Section 3.22.	
78861-99	<i>Roseburg Urban Sanitary Authority (Douglas County)</i>	\$170,000
Sec. 212	Construction	
	Purchase 155 acres of land for effluent irrigation of poplar trees	
8093A-99	<i>City of Scappoose (Columbia County)</i>	\$2,630,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community	
	Hydraulic capacity upgrade, including North interceptor, influent pump station, effluent pressure main, and Smith Road pump station.	
8093B-99	<i>City of Scappoose (Columbia County)</i>	\$340,000
Sec. 212	Construction; Small Community	
	Purchase land for land application of treated sludge.	

82920-00	<i>City of Seneca (Grant County)</i>	\$112,500
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project; Small Community Reduce inflow and infiltration problems in a non-discharging lagoon system.	
86772-01	<i>City of Springfield (Lane County)</i>	\$3,750,000
Sec. 212	Construction Sewer replacement and rehabilitation and I & I correction in several areas of the system, to be completed over the next 5 years.	
80160-99	<i>City of St. Helens (Columbia County)</i>	\$2,000,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Design and construct storm sewer lines to address unmet needs of City's storm sewer system. Heavy rains cause sewage bypass to the river.	
8716B-97	<i>City of Stanfield (Umatilla County)</i>	\$2,000,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community Wastewater system improvements, including treatment plant and water reuse facility improvements. The treatment plant has been exceeding its NPDES permit limitation for effluent BOD.	
89550-99	<i>City of Sutherlin (Douglas County)</i>	\$400,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Install fine bubble diffusers and new air piping and blowers. Replace mechanical mixers and coarse bubble diffusers.	
89750-00	<i>City of Sweet Home (Linn County)</i>	\$2,000,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project Identify and remove inflow to the wastewater system to comply with Mutual Agreement and Order with the DEQ and to eliminate sewage bypasses to the South Santiam River, which supports endangered salmon species.	
9080B-97	<i>City of The Dalles (Wasco County)</i>	\$2,300,000
Sec. 212	Planning, Design and Construction The existing wastewater treatment plant is reaching treatment capacity necessitating system expansion.	
9156C-99	<i>Tillamook County SWCD (Tillamook County)</i>	\$25,000
Sec. 319 and Sec. 320	Construction Purchase inventory of silt control fence and related erosion control and silt control products. See Management Program, Sections 3.26 & 4.2. This project also ties into objectives of the Tillamook Bay estuary program to improve fish habitat.	
9156D-99	<i>Tillamook County SWCD (Tillamook County)</i>	\$400,000
Sec. 319 and Sec. 320	Construction	

Create a loan fund available for nine drainage districts in Tillamook County which will allow districts to make needed repairs and improvements. Projects could include drainage ditch cleaning, tide gate repair and replacement, or levee and dike maintenance. See Management Program, Sections 3.26 & 4.2. This project also ties into objectives of the Tillamook Bay estuary program to improve fish habitat. It will result in higher levels of dissolved oxygen and lower temperatures, both of which benefit threatened or endangered species of fish. Improvement of fish habitat is an objective of the Tillamook Bay estuary program.

9156E-99 ***Tillamook County SWCD (Tillamook County)*** **\$421,587**

Sec. 319 and Sec. 320 Design and Construction

Install fish friendly tide gates in various sloughs along the Trask, Tillamook, Wilson and Kilchis rivers. Sloughs are experiencing stagnation and pollution with Coliform bacteria including E. coli. See Management Program, Sections 3.26 & 4.2. This project is one of two from Tillamook County that will enhance habitat rather than keeping pollution laden flows out of sensitive estuaries. Improved fishery habitat for Coho and Steelhead is a major goal of the Tillamook Estuary program.

9156F-99 ***Tillamook County SWCD (Tillamook County)*** **\$1,500,000**

Sec. 319 and Sec. 320 Construction

Create a loan fund for agricultural producers in 3-county basin which will allow producers to expedite implementation of NRCS-developed Resource Management Plans on their operations. Examples could include manure storage facilities, related roofing, guttering and downspouting for excluding rainwater from waste management systems. See Management Program, Sections 3.26 & 4.2.. This project will implement National Resource and conservation Service developed resource management Plans for dairy farms. Implementation of best management practices for dairy farmers will reduce sediment from bank erosion and reduce overland flows from nutrient and bacteria rich cow manure into Tillamook Bay which is water quality limited for bacteria.

91563-01 ***City of Tillamook (Tillamook County)*** **\$300,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Extend 5,150 feet of sewer east on Third Street and North on Marolf Loop, to provide an area of failing septic systems with public sewer service.

9237-97 ***City of Troutdale (Multnomah County)*** **\$16,000,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

Design and construct a new, 3 MGD sewage treatment plant to address the currently inadequate treatment capacity.

9434A-99 ***City of Waldport (Lincoln County)*** **\$130,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Design and construction of approximately 850 linear feet of gravity sewer to help alleviate problem of sewage overflow.

9434B-99 ***City of Waldport (Lincoln County)*** **\$200,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
 Inflow and infiltration reduction, per 1992 facilities plan prepared by Gary L. Dyer, P.E., Engineer

94343-01 City of Waldport (Lincoln County) \$250,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
 Correct I & I in the southeast basin sewer gravity collection system that leads to the new southeast pump station.

9458-97 City of Wallowa (Wallowa County) \$300,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
 Wastewater system improvements to improve inadequately treated wastewater being discharged to the Wallowa River.

9470B-97 Wamic Water and Sewer Authority (Wasco County) \$250,000

Sec. 212 Planning, Design and Construction; Small Community
 Plan, design and construct a community sewer treatment facility, to replace failing septic systems.

9482-97 Warm Springs Tribes (Jefferson County) \$1,500,000

Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community
 Construction of wastewater treatment facility improvements. Effluent discharge exceeds EPA and Tribal limits.

94961-00 City of Wasco (Sherman County) \$1,500,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community
 Rehabilitate the seepage lagoon system in order to address permit noncompliance issues.

9551B-99 Wedderburn Sanitary District (Curry County) \$50,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
 Replace inadequate flowmeters to improve plant capacity and alleviate coastal erosion.

95510-00 Wedderburn Sanitary District (Curry County) \$810,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community
 Reroute storm drain; construct anti-erosion seawall and other wastewater system improvements. See Management Program, Sections 3.26, 4.2, and 4.5.

95511-01 Wedderburn Sanitary District (Curry County) \$75,000

Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community
 Repairs to correct I & I in approximately 3 miles of sewer lines; modernization of chlorination facility.

96210-99 City of Westfir (Lane County) \$200,000

Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community
 Replacement of sewerage facilities, addressing compliance issues. Construction of new gravity collection system and package treatment plant in Hemlock area.

9623A-97 *City of Weston (Umatilla County)* **\$20,000**
 Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community
 Facilities plan for wastewater system improvements

9623B-97 *City of Weston (Umatilla County)* **\$500,000**
 Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
 Work on digester, headworks, trickling filter, primary clarifier and collection system which serves the entire city of Weston plus domestic sewage for two industrial plants.

98520-99 *City of Wood Village (Multnomah County)* **\$1,500,000**
 Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
 Wood Village Sandy Blvd. sewer interceptor line, from N.E. 223rd Avenue to the Gresham treatment plant (approximately 3.0 MGD and a length of 6800 L.F.).

99340-99 *City of Yoncalla (Douglas County)* **\$358,250**
 Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community
 Correction of wastewater collection system to reduce inflow and infiltration, which will include line rerouting/replacement/relining. There have been permit violations.

TABLE OF PROJECT APPLICATIONS IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

The following table consists of all projects on the CWSRF project priority list. This includes the new projects described above plus the projects carried over from the previous project priority list. Projects identified on the FY 2000 Project Priority List which have been funded or have asked to be removed, have been deleted. The Project Priority List is in alphabetical order by applicant, and includes the following additional information requested by EPA: (1) amount requested, (2) EPA needs category, (3) discharge limits for those with existing permits, (4) the preliminary project schedule, and (5) the Project Priority Ranking.

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
76	City of Adair Village 10200-00	\$280,000	IIIA	No Discharge	30/45	9/00	9/00	7/05
60	Alsea County Service Dist. 10860-99	\$150,000	IIIA,VI	No Discharge	No Discharge	1/01	6/01	10/01
24	City of Astoria 1179-97	\$16,000,000	V	30/50	30/50	7/00	7/01	12/02
74	City of Boardman 1620-97	\$2,600,000	I,IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	10/00	12/00	12/01
115	Bunker Hill Sanitary District 19150-00	\$385,000	IVA,IVB	10/10	30/30	4/01	5/01	12/01
80	Camellia Park San. District 20330-01	\$40,000	II	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/00	3/01	8/01
105	Charleston Sanitary District 2191A-99	\$45,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	11/00	1/01	9/01

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
105	Charleston Sanitary District 2191B-99	\$130,000	IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	11/00	11/00	12/01
94	Charleston Sanitary District 2191A-97	\$4,000,000	I	20/20	30/30	12/00	12/00	12/02
77	Chemult Sanitary District 2203B-97	\$2,300,000	I,II,IVA	No Discharge	No Discharge	9/00	9/00	12/02
52	City of Coburg 23040-99	\$8,000,000	I,IVA	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/00	7/00	12/02
96	City of Coos Bay 24190-01	\$36,000	IVB	30/30	20/20	1/01	7/01	10/01
97	City of Coos Bay 24191-01	\$52,000	IVA	30/30	20/20	1/01	7/01	10/01
95	City of Coos Bay 24192-01	\$170,000	IVB	30/30	20/20	1/01	7/01	10/01
97	City of Coos Bay 24193-01	\$62,000	IVA	30/30	20/20	1/01	7/01	10/01
28	Coos County 24200-01	\$1,000,000	319,320	No Discharge	No Discharge	9/00	9/00	9/05
6	City of Coquille 24292-01	\$7,500,000	I	20/30	30/45	7/01	7/01	01/04
9	City of Coquille 24293-01	\$100,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/00	7/00	7/01
111	City of Cove 24680-99	\$200,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/00	7/00	12/00
78	Cove Orchard Sew. Ser. Dist 24685-01	\$35,000	IIIA	No Discharge	No Discharge	5/01	5/01	10/01
15	Crescent Sanitary District 25130-00	\$2,500,000	I,IVA,IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	8/00	6/01	11/02
68	City of Creswell 2514A-99	\$100,000	I,IIIA	No Discharge	30/50	10/00	3/01	3/02
68	City of Creswell 2514B-99	\$5,900,000	I,IIIA	No Discharge	30/50	10/00	3/01	3/02
38	City of Dufur 2952-97	\$300,000	I,IVB	30/85	30/85	5/01	6/01	10/01
109	Gardiner Sanitary District 35540-00	\$21,975	IIIA	10/10	30/30	7/00	12/00	7/01
12	City of Garibaldi 35611-00	\$3,750,000	I,IIIB	20/20	30/30	3/01	3/01	3/02
36	City of Glendale 3745A-00	\$60,000	I,IIIA	10/10	30/30	12/00	3/01	9/01
36	City of Glendale 3745B-00	\$1,100,000	I	10/10	30/30	12/00	3/01	9/01
33	Government Camp S.D. 38351-01	\$25,000	I,IVA, IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/00	7/00	12/00
22	City of Grants Pass 3867B-95	\$7,000,000	I	20/20	30/30	7/00	7/00	1/02
17	City of Haines 4035-97	\$150,000	IIIA	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/00	10/00	6/01
17	City of Haines 40351-01	\$75,000	IIIA,IVB,V	No Discharge	No Discharge	5/01	1/02	5/03
17	City of Haines 40352-01	\$750,000	IIIA,IVB,V	No Discharge	No Discharge	8/02	8/03	12/04
73	City of Harrisburg 41901-99	\$4,400,000	I,IIIA,IVB	No Discharge	30/30	12/00	1/01	12/02
34	City of Hines 4463A-99	\$20,000	I,IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	8/00	10/00	6/01

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
34	City of Hines 4463B-99	\$2,513,050	I,IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	9/01	11/01	11/02
113	City of Imbler 47570-99	\$50,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/00	9/00	4/01
30	City of Irrigon 4774B-99	\$2,403,000	I,IIIA,IVA, IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	1/01	3/01	8/01
107	Klamath Tribes 5260A-99	\$50,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	8/00	9/00	2/01
107	Klamath Tribes 5260B-99	\$1,260,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	9/01	11/01	12/02
64	City of Lebanon 5620A-00	\$1,384,000	I,IIIA	10/10	25/30	7/00	9/00	10/01
64	City of Lebanon 5620B-00	\$311,000	IIIA,IVA, VI	10/10	25/30	7/00	7/00	10/00
64	City of Lebanon 5620C-00	\$216,320	II	10/10	25/30	7/00	7/00	9/01
82	City of Lincoln City 5751A-01	\$170,500	I,IIIA,IVA, IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	12/00	12/00	12/02
82	City of Lincoln City 5751B-01	\$19,129,000	I,IIIA,IVA, IVB	10/10	20/20	7/00	7/00	12/05
87	City of Lowell 59220-99	\$85,000	I,IIIA	10/10	30/30	3/01	4/01	12/01
62	Marion Co. Public Works 6299A-99	\$50,000	Nonpoint Source	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/00	7/00	10/03
62	Marion Co. Public Works 6299B-99	\$2,100,000	Nonpoint Source	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/00	7/00	10/03
14	City of Maywood Park 63960-01	\$30,000	IVA	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/00	7/00	9/00
1	City of McMinnville 6169A-99	\$2,200,000	IIIA,IIIB, IVA,V	5/5	10/10	12/00	12/00	10/01
1	City of McMinnville 6169B-99	\$1,200,000	IIIA,IIIB, IVA,V	5/5	10/10	12/00	12/00	10/01
1	City of McMinnville 6169C-99	\$300,000	IIIA,IIIB, IVA,V	5/5	10/10	11/00	11/00	2/01
1	City of McMinnville 6169D-99	\$500,000	IIIA,IIIB, IVA,V	5/5	10/10	11/00	11/00	7/01
1	City of McMinnville 6169E-99	\$300,000	IIIA,IIIB, IVA,V	5/5	10/10	7/00	7/00	12/00
42	City of Medford 6412A-97	\$400,000	II	10/10	30/30	9/00	6/01	12/03
42	City of Medford 6412B-97	\$21,600,000	II	10/10	30/30	9/00	6/01	12/03
13	City of Merrill 64631-00	\$3,130,000	I,IIIA,IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	9/00	9/00	4/02
89	City of Metolius 6484A-97	\$50,000	IIIB,V	No Discharge	No Discharge	6/01	7/01	12/01
89	City of Metolius 6484B-97	\$1,450,000	IIIB,V	No Discharge	No Discharge	1/01	3/01	12/01
81	Metro Wastewater Mgmt Com. 64840-99	\$3,000,000	II	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/00	7/00	6/01
53	Miles Crossing/Jeffer's Gardens 6523A-00	\$50,000	I,IVA,IVB	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	1/01	1/01	1/02
53	Miles Crossing/Jeffer's Gardens 6523B-00	\$3,068,191	I,IVA,IVB	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	1/01	1/01	1/03

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
84	City of Milton-Freewater 6558-97	\$2,000,000	I,IIIA, IVA,IVB,	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/01	11/01	8/02
26	City of Milwaukie 65580-99	\$7,600,000	IVA,IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	4/01	7/01	7/05
112	City of Moro 66790-00	\$20,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/00	7/00	7/01
8	City of Myrtle Creek 6798-97	\$6,750,000	I,IIIA	10/15	20/30	1/01	3/01	1/03
11	City of Myrtle Point 6798-91	\$5,143,895	I,II,IIIA, IIIB,IVB	20/20	30/30	2/01	2/01	2/04
92	Neskowin Reg. S.A. 68651-01	\$88,200	IVA, IVB	No Discharge	10/10	9/00	9/00	9/00
91	Neskowin Reg. S.A. 68652-01	\$294,750	IVA, IVB	No Discharge	10/10	7/00	7/00	9/00
75	City of North Plains 69640-01	\$20,000	319	No Discharge	No Discharge	9/00	10/00	10/00
55	City of North Powder 6963A-97	\$70,000	I,IIIA	30/85	30/85	3/01	5/01	9/01
55	City of North Powder 6963B-97	\$1,000,000	I,IIIA	30/85	30/85	4/01	6/01	11/02
10	City of Nyssa 6998-97	\$1,060,000	I,IIIB	25/45	35/45	2/01	4/01	10/02
85	City of Oakridge 7003A-97	\$70,000	VI	20/20	30/30	7/00	7/00	4/01
85	City of Oakridge 7003B-97	\$120,000	IIIA	20/20	30/30	7/00	7/00	7/01
48	City of Ontario 7061B-97	\$100,000	IVA	30/85	30/85	7/00	7/00	7/01
104	City of Ontario 7061C-97	\$175,000	VI	30/85	30/85	7/00	7/00	7/01
48	City of Ontario 7061D-97	\$200,000	IIIA	30/85	30/85	7/00	7/00	7/01
71	City of Philomath 73270-99	\$380,000	IIIA	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/00	3/01	6/01
102	Polk County Comm. Dev. 7395A-99	\$25,000	I,IVA, IVB	10/10	30/30	1/01	3/01	12/02
102	Polk County Comm. Dev. 7395B-99	\$225,000	I,IVA, IVB	10/10	30/30	1/01	3/01	12/02
16	City of Powers 74350-01	\$580,000	IIIA	30/45	30/45	12/00	12/00	12/01
21	City of Prairie City 7442-97	\$1,110,000	I,IIIA,IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	8/00	8/00	12/01
58	City of Rivergrove 77740-00	\$1,000,000	IVA,IVB	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Scheduled	Not Scheduled	Not Scheduled
7	Roseburg Urban San. Auth. 78861-99	\$170,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	6/01	6/01	9/01
70	City of Scappoose 8093A-99	\$2,630,000	IVB	20/20	30/30	12/00	7/01	12/01
27	City of Scappoose 8093B-99	\$340,000	I	20/20	30/30	12/03	1/04	1/05
114	City of Seneca 82920-00	\$112,500	IIIA	No Discharge	No Discharge	10/00	5/01	6/01
24	City of St. Helens 80160-99	\$2,000,000	IIIA,VI	BOD-lb/day: TSS-lb/day:	12,800 26,862	10/01	10/01	4/03

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
67	City of Springfield 86772-01	\$3,750,000	IIIA, IIIB	10/10	25/30	7/00	6/01	10/01
41	City of Stanfield 8716B-97	\$2,000,000	I,IVB	No Discharge	30/45	11/00	12/00	12/01
93	City of Sutherlin 89550-99	\$400,000	I	10/10	30/30	10/00	10/00	12/00
40	City of Sweet Home 89750-00	\$2,000,000	IIIA	10/10	15/20	5/01	5/01	9/02
23	City of The Dalles 9080B-97	\$2,300,000	I	20/20	30/30	9/00	5/01	5/02
39	City of Tillamook 91563-01	\$300,000	IVA	20/20	30/30	7/00	5/01	10/01
44	Tillamook Co. SWCD 9156C-99	\$25,000	Nonpoint Source	NoDisch	NoDisch	1/01	1/01	½
44	Tillamook Co. SWCD 9156D-99	\$400,000	Nonpoint Source	NoDisch	NoDisch	1/01	1/01	½
44	Tillamook Co. SWCD 9156E-99	\$421,587	Estuary	NoDisch	NoDisch	3/01	9/01	9/02
44	Tillamook Co. SWCD 9156F-99	\$1,500,000	Nonpoint Source	NoDisch	NoDisch	1/01	1/01	½
50	City of Troutdale 9237-95	\$16,000,000	I,IIIB	20/20	30/30	3/01	4/01	11/02
31	City of Waldport 9434A-99	\$130,000	IIIB	10/10	10/10	6/01	7/01	4/02
31	City of Waldport 9434B-99	\$200,000	IIIA	10/10	10/10	6/01	7/01	12/02
20	City of Waldport 94343-01	\$250,000	IIIA	15/25	40/45	7/00	7/00	12/00
51	City of Wallowa 9458-97	\$300,000	IIIB	30/85	30/85	7/00	1/01	1/02
79	Wamic Water & Sewer Auth. 9470B-97	\$250,000	IVA	NoDisch	NoDisch	9/00	10/00	12/01
29	Warm Springs Tribes 9782-97	\$1,500,000	I	10/10	10/10	4/01	4/01	4/02
57	City of Wasco 94961-00	\$1,500,000	IIIA,IIIB,V	NoDisch.	NoDisch.	10/00	10/00	12/04
99	Wedderburn Sanitary Dist. 9551B-99	\$50,000	IIIA,V,VI	NoDisch	NoDisch	10/00	10/00	10/01
110	Wedderburn Sanitary Dist. 95510-00	\$810,000	IIIA,V,VI,319	NoDisch	NoDisch	3/01	5/01	5/02
88	Wedderburn Sanitary Dist 95511-01	\$75,000	IIIA	NoDisch	NoDisch	7/00	4/01	12/01
61	City of Westfir 96210-99	\$200,000	IIIB,VI	10/10	20/20	7/01	7/01	6/02
100	City of Weston 9623A-97	\$20,000	I,II,IIIA	30/30	30/30	4/01	6/01	12/01
100	City of Weston 9623B-97	\$500,000	I,II,IIIA	30/30	30/30	10/01	12/01	12/02
72	City of Wood Village 98520-99	\$1,500,000	IVB	NoDisch	NoDisch	7/00	2/01	7/01
59	City of Yoncalla 99340-99	\$358,250	IIIA	NoDisch	30/50	3/01	3/01	8/02

ELIGIBLE PROJECTS IN PRIORITY ORDER: PRIORITY LIST

New projects are added to the projects carried forward on the Priority List from prior years to make up the FY 2001 List of Eligible Projects in Priority Order. When a final application is approved, the project funded, and a loan agreement signed, the project is removed from this list. Other projects are deleted when requested by the applicant or funded by other sources.

Priority Ranking	Proj. No.	Applicant	Loan Request	SC	FP	POINT SCORES				
						Waterbody Sensitivity	Problem Severity	Afford-ability	Popu-lation	Total
1	6169A-99	City of McMinnville	\$2,200,000			50	30	10	8.28	98.28
1	6169B-99	City of McMinnville	\$1,200,000			50	30	10	8.28	98.28
1	6169C-99	City of McMinnville	\$300,000			50	30	10	8.28	98.28
1	6169D-99	City of McMinnville	\$500,000			50	30	10	8.28	98.28
1	6169E-99	City of McMinnville	\$300,000			50	30	10	8.28	98.28
6	24292-01	City of Coquille	\$7,500,000	SC		50	30	10	7.25	97.25
7	78861-99	Roseburg Urban Sanitary Dist.	\$170,000			50	30	0	9.09	89.09
8	6798-97	City of Myrtle Creek	\$6,750,000	SC		50	30	0	7.70	87.70
9	24293-01	City of Coquille	\$100,000	SC	FP	50	30	0	7.25	87.25
10	6998-97	City of Nyssa	\$1,060,000	SC		40	30	10	6.95	86.95
11	6798-91	City of Myrtle Point	\$5,143,895	SC		50	30	0	6.87	86.87
12	35611-00	City of Garibaldi	\$3,750,000	SC		40	30	10	5.99	85.99
13	64631-00	City of Merrill	\$3,130,000	SC		40	30	10	5.84	85.84
14	63960-01	City of Maywood Park	\$30,000	SC	FP	50	30	0	5.80	85.80
15	25130-00	Crescent Sanitary District	\$2,500,000	SC		40	30	10	5.75	85.75
16	74350-01	City of Powers	\$580,000	SC		40	30	10	5.71	85.71
17	4035-97	City of Haines	\$150,000	SC		40	30	10	5.34	85.34
17	40351-01	City of Haines	\$75,000	SC	FP	40	30	10	5.34	85.34
17	40352-01	City of Haines	\$750,000	SC		40	30	10	5.34	85.34
20	94343-01	City of Waldport	\$250,000	SC		40	30	10	4.80	84.80
21	7442-97	City of Prairie City	\$1,110,000	SC		40	30	7	6.14	83.14
22	3867B-95	City of Grants Pass	\$7,000,000			40	30	0	8.53	78.53
23	9080B-97	City of The Dalles	\$2,300,000			40	30	0	8.32	78.32
24	1179-97	City of Astoria	\$16,000,000			40	30	0	8.00	78.00
24	80160-99	City of St. Helens	\$2,000,000			40	30	0	8.00	78.00
26	65580-99	City of Milwaukie	\$7,600,000			40	30	0	7.57	77.57
27	8093B-99	City of Scappoose	\$340,000	SC		40	30	0	7.34	77.34
28	24200-01	Coos County	\$1,000,000	SC		40	30	0	7.02	77.02
29	9482-97	Warm Springs Tribes	\$1,500,000	SC		40	30	0	7.01	77.01
30	4774B-99	City of Irrigon	\$2,403,000	SC		30	30	10	6.72	76.72
31	9434A-99	City of Waldport	\$130,000	SC		40	30	0	6.53	76.53
31	9434B-99	City of Waldport	\$200,000	SC		40	30	0	6.53	76.53
33	38351-01	Government Camp S.D.	\$25,000	SC	FP	30	30	10	6.42	76.42
34	4463A-99	City of Hines	\$20,000	SC	FP	40	30	0	6.41	76.41
34	4463B-99	City of Hines	\$2,513,050	SC		40	30	0	6.41	76.41
36	3745A-00	City of Glendale	\$60,000	SC	FP	40	30	0	5.77	75.77
36	3745B-00	City of Glendale	\$1,100,000	SC		40	30	0	5.77	75.77
38	2952-97	City of Dufur	\$300,000	SC		40	30	0	5.58	75.58
39	91563-01	City of Tillamook	\$300,000	SC		40	30	0	4.95	74.95

Priority Ranking	Proj. No.	Applicant	Loan Request	SC	FP	POINT SCORES				
						Waterbody Sensitivity	Problem Severity	Affordability	Population	Total
40	89750-00	City of Sweet Home	\$2,000,000			30	30	7	7.78	74.78
41	8716B-97	City of Stanfield	\$2,000,000	SC		40	20	7	6.46	73.46
42	6412A-97	City of Medford	\$400,000		FP	40	20	0	10.00	70.00
42	6412B-97	City of Medford	\$21,600,000			40	20	0	10.00	70.00
44	9156C-99	Tillamook County SWCD	\$25,000			40	20	0	8.72	68.72
44	9156D-99	Tillamook County SWCD	\$400,000			40	20	0	8.72	68.72
44	9156E-99	Tillamook County SWCD	\$421,587			40	20	0	8.72	68.72
44	9156F-99	Tillamook County SWCD	\$1,500,000			40	20	0	8.72	68.72
48	7061B-97	City of Ontario	\$100,000		FP	40	10	10	8.25	68.25
48	7061D-97	City of Ontario	\$200,000			40	10	10	8.25	68.25
50	9237-97	City of Troutdale	\$16,000,000			40	20	0	8.21	68.21
51	9458-97	City of Wallowa	\$300,000	SC		20	30	10	5.85	65.85
52	23040-99	City of Coburg	\$8,000,000	SC		20	30	10	5.79	65.79
53	6523A-00	Miles Crossing/Jeffer's Gardens	\$50,000	SC	FP	30	20	10	5.63	65.63
53	6523B-00	Miles Crossing/Jeffer's Gardens	\$3,068,191	SC		30	20	10	5.63	65.63
55	6963A-97	City of North Powder	\$70,000	SC	FP	20	30	10	5.45	65.45
55	6963B-97	City of North Powder	\$1,000,000	SC		20	30	10	5.45	65.45
57	94961-00	City of Wasco	\$1,500,000	SC		20	30	10	5.25	65.25
58	77740-00	City of Rivergrove	\$1,000,000	SC		40	20	0	5.09	65.09
59	99340-99	City of Yoncalla	\$358,250	SC		30	20	7	6.02	63.02
60	10860-99	Alesea County Service District	\$150,000	SC		40	10	7	4.51	61.51
61	96210-99	City of Westfir	\$200,000	SC		20	30	7	4.45	61.45
62	6299A-99	Marion County Public Works	\$50,000		FP	40	10	0	10.00	60.00
62	6299B-99	Marion County Public Works	\$2,100,000			40	10	0	10.00	60.00
64	5620A-00	City of Lebanon	\$1,384,000			30	20	0	8.16	58.16
64	5620B-00	City of Lebanon	\$311,000			30	20	0	8.16	58.16
64	5620C-00	City of Lebanon	\$216,320			30	20	0	8.16	58.16
67	86772-01	City of Springfield	\$3,750,000			30	20	0	8.00	58.00
68	2514A-99	City of Creswell	\$100,000	SC	FP	20	30	0	7.54	57.54
68	2514B-99	City of Creswell	\$5,900,000	SC		20	30	0	7.54	57.54
70	8093A-99	City of Scappoose	\$2,630,000	SC		40	10	0	7.34	57.34
71	73270-99	City of Philomath	\$380,000	SC		40	10	0	7.13	57.13
72	98520-99	City of Wood Village	\$1,500,000	SC		40	10	0	6.95	56.95
73	41901-99	City of Harrisburg	\$4,400,000	SC		20	20	10	6.91	56.91
74	1620-97	City of Boardman	\$2,600,000	SC		30	10	10	6.86	56.86
75	69640-01	City of North Plains	\$20,000	SC		40	10	0	6.49	56.49
76	10200-00	City of Adair Village	\$280,000	SC		30	20	0	5.51	55.51
77	2203B-97	Chemult Sanitary District	\$2,300,000	SC		30	10	10	4.60	54.60
78	24685-01	Cove Orchard Sew Ser Dist	\$35,000	SC		20	20	10	4.26	54.26
79	9470B-97	Wamic Water and Sewer Auth.	\$250,000	SC		20	20	10	4.00	54.00
80	20330-01	Camellia Park San. Dist.	\$40,000	SC		20	20	10	3.91	53.91
81	64840-99	Metro W/W Mgmt Comm.	\$3,000,000			40	0	0	10.00	50.00
82	5751A-01	City of Lincoln City	\$170,500		FP	20	20	0	7.68	47.68
82	5751B-01	City of Lincoln City	\$19,129,000			20	20	0	7.68	47.68
84	6558-97	City of Milton-Freewater	\$2,000,000			10	30	0	7.56	47.56
85	7003A-97	City of Oakridge	\$70,000	SC	FP	20	20	0	7.02	47.02
85	7003B-97	City of Oakridge	\$120,000	SC		20	20	0	7.02	47.02
87	59220-99	City of Lowell	\$85,000	SC	FP	20	20	0	6.01	46.01
88	95511-01	Wedderburn Sanitary District	\$75,000	SC		20	20	0	5.88	45.88

Priority Ranking	Proj. No.	Applicant	Loan Request	SC	FP	POINT SCORES				
						Waterbody Sensitivity	Problem Severity	Affordability	Population	Total
89	6484-96	City of Metolius	\$50,000	SC	FP	20	10	10	5.60	45.60
89	6484-97	City of Metolius	\$1,450,000	SC		20	10	10	5.60	45.60
91	68652-01	Neskowin Reg. S.A.	\$294,750	SC		30	10	0	4.80	44.80
92	68651-01	Neskowin Reg. S.A.	\$88,200	SC		30	10	0	3.20	43.20
93	89550-99	City of Sutherlin	\$400,000			20	10	0	7.64	37.64
94	2191A-97	Charleston Sanitary District	\$4,000,000	SC		10	10	10	7.01	37.01
95	24192-01	City of Coos Bay	\$170,000			20	10	0	6.89	36.89
96	24190-01	City of Coos Bay	\$36,000			20	10	0	6.43	36.43
97	24191-01	City of Coos Bay	\$52,000			20	10	0	6.35	36.35
97	24193-01	City of Coos Bay	\$62,000			20	10	0	6.35	36.35
99	9551B-99	Wedderburn Sanitary District	\$50,000	SC		10	20	0	5.88	35.88
100	9623A-97	City of Weston	\$20,000	SC	FP	20	10	0	5.59	35.59
100	9623B-97	City of Weston	\$500,000	SC		20	10	0	5.59	35.59
102	7395A-99	Polk County Community Dev.	\$25,000	SC	FP	10	10	10	2.60	32.60
102	7395B-99	Polk County Community Dev.	\$225,000	SC		10	10	10	2.60	32.60
104	7061C-97	City of Ontario	\$175,000		FP	10	10	0	8.03	28.03
105	2191A-99	Charleston Sanitary District	\$45,000	SC	FP	10	0	10	7.01	27.01
105	2191B-99	Charleston Sanitary District	\$130,000	SC		10	0	10	7.01	27.01
107	5260A-99	Klamath Tribes	\$50,000	SC	FP	10	10	0	6.00	26.00
107	5260B-99	Klamath Tribes	\$1,260,000	SC		10	10	0	6.00	26.00
109	35540-00	Gardiner Sanitary District	\$21,975	SC	FP	20	0	0	5.90	25.90
110	95510-00	Wedderburn Sanitary District	\$810,000	SC		20	0	0	5.88	25.88
111	24680-99	City of Cove	\$200,000	SC		10	10	0	5.57	25.57
112	66790-00	City of Moro	\$20,000	SC	FP	10	10	0	5.06	25.06
113	47570-99	City of Imbler	\$50,000	SC	FP	10	10	0	4.98	24.98
114	82920-00	City of Seneca	\$112,500	SC		10	10	0	4.72	24.72
115	19150-00	Bunker Hill Sanitary District	\$385,000	SC		20	0	0	3.40	23.40

Notes: SC: Eligible for Small Communities Funds
 FP: Eligible for Facility Planning Funds

PRIORITY RANKING CRITERIA

The Priority List ranks projects based on the following criteria established by Oregon Administrative Rule 340-54-025(3):

POINT CRITERIA	POINT ASSIGNMENT	WATER QUALITY DESCRIPTION
(1) Receiving Water Body Sensitivity: (One choice only)	50	Facility discharges to waters of the state where the EQC has established Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDL) and associated waste load allocations (WLA). Facility discharges to an EPA designated sole source aquifer.
	40	Facility discharges to waters of the state that are listed in the current 305(b) Report under the Beneficial Uses column as "not supporting".
		Facility discharges to ground water that has a documented increase in the concentration of a contaminant above the ground water background level and levels in Tables 1 or 2 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40.
		Facility discharges to ground water located in a designated wellhead protection area.
30	Facility discharges to waters of the state which are any of the following:	

		<p>(1) Designated as a Wild and Scenic River by the federal government;</p> <p>(2) Designated as a State Scenic Waterway by ORS 390.826;</p> <p>(3) Designated as Outstanding Resource Waters by the EQC;</p> <p>(4) Referenced in OAR 340-41-470 with special restrictions;</p> <p>(5) Determined to be a sensitive estuarine habitat by the DEQ;</p> <p>(6) Listed under Fishes in the latest Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (50 CFR 17.11 & 17.12) as threatened or endangered in Oregon.</p>
		Facility discharges to ground water that has a documented increase in the concentration of a contaminant above the ground water background level but the contamination level is below the levels in Tables 1 and 2 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40.
		Facility discharges to ground water located in a designated ground water management area.
	20	Facility discharges to waters of the state that are listed in the current 305(b) Report under the Beneficial Uses column as "partially supporting".
		Facility discharges to ground water where the contaminant in the discharge is listed in Tables 1 and 2 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40 and is known to cause ground water contamination but there is no ground water quality data available to substantiate the problem.
		Facility discharges to ground water that has a documented increase in the concentration of a contaminant above the ground water background level and levels in Table 3 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40.
		Facility discharges to waters of the state not referenced elsewhere in this criteria.
	10	Facility discharges to the ocean, the Columbia River or the Snake River.
		Facility discharges to ground water that has a documented increase in the concentration of a contaminant above the ground water background level but the contamination level is below the levels in Table 3 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40.
(2) Enforcement Activities & Water Quality Violations (One choice only)	30	Environmental Quality Commission (EQC) Orders.
		Mutual Agreements and Orders.
		Department Orders or permit conditions mandating action.
		EQC rules requiring elimination of a specific water quality problem related to inadequate water pollution control facilities.
		Documented health hazards with associated documented water quality problem.
	20	Noncompliance with the Department's statutes, rules or permit requirements resulting from inadequate water pollution control facilities.
	10	Documented health hazards without documented water quality problem.
		An enforcement order of the Oregon Health Division relating to safe drinking water.
		Existing potential water quality problem as noted by the Department but undocumented.
(3) Affordability (10 points max.)	7	The cost per household of the wastewater treatment system (including operation, maintenance, and debt service for prior and new wastewater projects) exceeds 1.5% of the median household income of the community.
	10	The cost per household of the wastewater treatment system (including prior capital improvements outstanding and the proposed project) exceeds 1.75% of the median household income of the community.
(4) Population (10 points max.)	LOG (Current Population) ²	Points calculated based upon the population directly affected by the project.

FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR LOANS

The table below identifies the funds available for new loans and amendments in FY 2001. The “Sources of Funds” section identifies the total amount of the fund and its sources of capitalization and revenue. The “Uses of Funds” shows the amount allocated to existing loans and administration expense. Totaling the two sections yields the net amount available for new loans and amendments.

The first column, “Through FY 2000”, shows the cumulative funds of the program as of 6/30/00. The second column shows the new money that will be coming in to fund new loans and amendments. This column includes the estimated federal fiscal year 2001 capitalization grant (and its state match) even though the grant had not been awarded by July 1, 2000. The “Administration Expense Allocation” is the 4% of the total capitalization grants that is set-aside for administrative costs.

The “Projected for FY 2001” column includes the federal fiscal year 2001 capitalization grant, associated state match, and a conservative projection of investment earnings. This column also includes repayments scheduled to be received in fiscal year 2001 from loans that are already completed and in repayment. It also includes a conservative estimate of repayments that will be received during FY 2001 for projects which are not yet completed.

The negative balance of \$19,314,652 at the bottom of the “Through FY 2000” column represents the loans that have been signed in excess of the cash on hand at that point in time. Funding for these loans will be available as the cash is needed based on loan-by-loan projections in the cash flow model, but no later than the end of fiscal year 2001, as shown by the positive cash balance of \$44,630,630 in the second column. After netting these two figures, the remaining funds are available for new loans in the amount of \$25,315,978 as shown at the bottom of the second and third columns.

PROJECTED FUNDS AVAILABLE TO LOAN DURING FY 2001

	Through FY 2000	For FY 2001	Total
Sources of Funds:			
Capitalization Grants	191,297,030	15,059,979	206,357,009
State Match	38,259,406	3,011,996	41,271,402
Investment Earnings	13,933,565	2,247,849	15,809,640
Loan Repayments (Principal and Interest)	64,979,938	24,913,205	89,252,786
Total Sources of Cash	308,469,939	45,233,029	353,702,968
Uses of Funds:			
Loans and Amendments	320,132,710		320,132,710
Administration Expense Allocations	7,651,881	602,399	8,254,280
Total Uses of Cash	327,784,591	602,399	328,386,990

Sources of Cash less Uses of Cash	(19,314,652)	44,630,630	
Net Available to Loan during FY 2001		25,315,978	25,315,978

Small Community Funds =	\$ 3,797,397
Facility Planning Funds =	\$ 2,531,598
Maximum per Borrower =	\$ 3,797,397

CAPITALIZATION FUNDS

This Intended Use Plan will be used to allocate any additional federal or state funds which become available during FY 2001. The capitalization grant application will include a proposed federal payment schedule based on loan project schedules and when the cash will be needed.

State matching funds continue to be available through State of Oregon General Obligation Bonds which are repaid with funds appropriated each biennium from the state lottery or general fund. For the 1999-2001 biennium, the Department's budget includes allocations for debt service as well as the authority to sell additional bonds to match federal capitalization grants.

INVESTMENT EARNINGS

Investment earnings are projected conservatively for fiscal year 2001. When federal funds are fully drawn, investment earnings will begin to drop, since they are based on the repayments which are retained until federal funds and state matching funds are fully utilized. The long term goal is to keep the cash reserves low – balancing the need for a cash reserve to cover the timing variances of the projects against the goal of keeping the funds in use by communities.

LOAN REPAYMENTS

Projected repayments in the amount of \$24,913,205 are included in the cash available for new loans. This figure includes the following three categories of repayments (from most time certain to least time certain):

- (a) repayments on loans that are fully disbursed and already in repayment .
- (b) repayments of interim loans with long-term financing through USDA Rural Development, and
- (c) repayments on signed loans that are not fully disbursed at this time but are expected to be in repayment before the end of fiscal year 2001.

The estimates for (b) and (c) are least time certain since changes to the repayment schedules as projects are completed tend to move repayments out in time. (Projects seldom finish ahead of schedule and frequently finish later than scheduled.) However, unscheduled prepayments tend to increase the actual repayments received. New loans for short-term projects also increase the repayments actually received. The net effect of these factors in recent years has been to increase actual repayments received over the amount projected.

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE

During this fiscal year, the administrative costs will continue to be paid through the “4% of capitalization grant” limitation within the fund. Loan fees continue to be assessed to support the program when the federal limitation on administrative expense is reached. Administrative activity continues to be monitored to be sure that the program is scaled at a level that can be supported by the on-going fee base when it becomes necessary.

LOAN ALLOCATIONS

Each year the maximum loan per borrower is established along with the facility planning reserve and the small community reserve. These amounts are based on the total available funds as listed in the Intended Use Plan. For fiscal year 2001, the maximum loan amount per borrower (including amendments) is \$3,797,397. The minimum amount to be allocated to small communities (population of 5,000 or less) is \$3,797,397. The minimum amount to be allocated to facility planning projects is \$2,531,598. If the facility planning reserve is not used, any remaining funds will be allocated to design and construction projects in priority order during the final quarter of the year.

Even though we presently have no final applications for facilities planning loans, it is anticipated that at least some of this reserve will be utilized during fiscal year 2001. Final applications will be accepted throughout the fiscal year and projects will be funded until the reserve is fully allocated, at which time any remaining reserves will be allocated among existing projects in priority order.

Projects are not allocated loan funds until a Final Application is received and approved. Each year, all unfunded (or partially funded) final applications are considered and any available funds are allocated to projects in priority order – first to small communities and facilities planning projects, and then to all remaining projects. Small community or facilities planning projects that are not fully funded through the small community or facilities planning reserves may be funded with the remaining funds, but only by competing with all other projects in priority order.

It is not expected that any projects will be funded for more than the maximum per borrower since the approved final applications currently exceed available funds. This maximum per borrower has been exceeded in prior years when not enough final applications were received to use all of the available funds. This is not expected to be the case during the next few years. The reduction in funding of this and other federal and state wastewater loan and grant programs continues to hamper the ability of communities to make mandated wastewater system improvements. Water quality continues to be compromised by the lack of affordable funding.

By rule, the Oregon CWSRF gives funding priority to loan increases for existing projects, in order to assist communities until projects are complete. In 2001 these loan increases will commit most of the funds that are available for new or existing design and construction projects, other than those for Small Communities.

Following is a table documenting projects to be funded with fiscal year 2001 CWSRF funds, based on the above calculation of funds available and the requests for loan increases and final applications that have already been received by the program. The top section of the table shows the projects that will receive increases to their existing loans, and the lower section shows new loans that will be executed, based on applications already received. It should be noted that this table indicates there is a remaining balance in the Facilities Planning reserve. We will leave this unallocated balance in the reserve, to give additional communities the opportunity to submit final applications during the current fiscal year and obtain financing for their projects.

FY 2001 ALLOCATIONS	Facility Planning	Small Comm.	Other	FP, SC and Other Total	Remaining Available SRF Funds	Total Project Cost	Total CWSRF Funding	Amount Requested
Current FY Available Funds:	2,531,598	3,797,397	18,986,983	25,315,978			(Including Current Increase)	(Increase or New Loan)
Uses of Available Funds:								
Increases								
Ashland			3,797,397	3,797,397	21,518,581	34,696,000	21,767,068	9,000,000 I
La Grande			3,797,397	3,797,397	17,721,184	13,563,000	6,767,068	3,797,397 I
Woodburn			3,797,397	3,797,397	13,923,787	39,000,000	26,767,068	10,000,000 I
Newport			3,797,397	3,797,397	10,126,390	32,200,000	21,167,068	10,000,000 I
Gresham			2,389,410	2,389,410	7,736,980	28,882,704	28,882,704	2,389,410 I
Monument		73,000		73,000	7,663,980	1,519,988	200,000	73,000 I
Subtotals	0	73,000	17,578,998	17,651,998				
Balance=	2,531,598	3,724,397	1,407,985	7,663,980				
					7,663,980			
New Loans								
Applicant: (and ranking)								
Myrtle Creek (8)		949,349		949,349	6,714,631	10,000,000	949,349	10,000,000 N
Haines (17)		100,000		100,000	6,614,631	100,000	100,000	100,000 N
Prairie City (21)		949,349		949,349	5,665,282	1,045,000	949,349	1,045,000 N
Grants Pass (22)			1,407,985	1,407,985	4,257,297	9,300,000	1,407,985	7,000,000 N
Astoria (24)			0	0	4,257,297	2,810,000	0	2,760,000 N
Hines (34)		949,349		949,349	3,307,948	2,500,000	949,349	2,500,000 N
Tillamook (39)		440,000		440,000	2,867,948	440,000	440,000	440,000 N
Sweet Home (40)			0	0	2,867,948	2,265,500	0	2,000,000 N
Wood Village (72)		336,350		336,350	2,531,598	1,500,000	336,350	1,500,000 N
Subtotal	0	3,724,397	1,407,985	5,132,382				
Balance=	2,531,598	0	0	2,531,598				

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Environmental Review requirements have been modified in recent years except as required by DEQ and other agencies outside of the CWSRF program. The EPA Title II equivalency requirements have been met, so the National Environmental Protection Act no longer applies to new projects. A proposed State Environmental Review Process was submitted to EPA and approved by them in November 1999. This State Environmental Review Process is included as part of the Oregon CWSRF Program Procedures Manual, which is available upon request.

GOVERNOR'S DISCRETIONARY FUND

This limitation on the funding of collector sewers, sewer separation and combined sewer overflow projects no longer applies to the program as equivalency requirements have been met.

OPERATING AGREEMENT

The Operating Agreement between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality for the State Revolving Fund Program includes the procedures, assurances, certifications, Title II requirements, applicable federal authorities and laws, and other on-going documentation for the program. The Operating Agreement is discussed here to incorporate the required assurances, certifications and other documentation by reference. Copies of the Operating Agreement are available upon request.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES

Invitations to submit preliminary applications were sent to all Oregon jurisdictions, sanitary districts, and other interested parties. All public agencies that submitted preliminary CWSRF applications were then sent copies of the draft Intended Use Plan. The following public notice inviting comments was printed in The Oregonian and the Business Journal.

PUBLIC NOTICE**Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Proposed Intended Use Plan
for Fiscal Year 2001**

Notice Issued: June 23, 2000
Comments Due: July 24, 2000

WHAT IS PROPOSED

The Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has prepared its proposed *FY 2001 Intended Use Plan* for the CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND in accordance with procedures set out in Division 54 of Chapter 340 of the Oregon Administrative Rules.

This plan is part of an application to receive federal grant funds made available for the creation of state revolving funds through the Water Quality Act of 1987. These funds are to be used to provide low cost loans to public agencies for water pollution abatement projects, including eligible wastewater treatment, nonpoint source, and estuary management projects.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED INTENDED USE PLAN

If approved in its current form, the Intended Use Plan will fund up to \$17,981,231 in loans for planning, design and construction of projects needed to correct priority water quality problems in Oregon. The Intended Use Plan includes a List of Eligible Projects in Priority Order that have applied for funding. Funding will be allocated in priority order as applicants complete the final application process. Funding limitations under Oregon Administrative Rule 340-54-025 may apply. Available funds may increase if more federal grant funds become available for federal fiscal year 2001 than projected, or they may decrease if less federal grant funds are appropriated.

TO RECEIVE A COPY OF THE PROPOSED INTENDED USE PLAN

A copy of the proposed Intended Use Plan is available from the Department of Environmental Quality by contacting Tom Meek at (503) 229-6412 or Rick Watters at (503) 229-6814. The I.U.P. document can also be found at DEQ's website: www.deq.state.or.us, under "Water Quality", then "Loans and Grants", then "Oregon State Revolving Fund Intended Use Document". Comments on the draft I.U.P. must be submitted in writing to the Department by Monday, July 24, 2000.

MAILING LISTS

In addition to all agencies submitting preliminary applications, a number of interested organizations and individuals were sent copies of the draft Intended Use Plan for review and comment. Additional copies were sent out as requested.

Committee Administrator
Senate Agriculture and Natural Resources
Committee
354 State Capitol
Salem, Oregon 97310

O.S.P.I.R.G.
1536 S.E. 11th Avenue
Portland, OR 97214

Association of Oregon Counties
P.O. Box 12729
Salem, OR 97309

N.W. Environmental Defense Center
10015 S.W. Terwilliger Blvd.
Portland, OR 97219

Oregon Economic Development Department
775 Summer St. N.E.
Salem, OR 97310

Oregon Environmental Advocates
133 S.W. Second
Portland, Oregon 97204

Oregon Environmental Council
520 S.W. Sixth Ave., Suite 940
Portland, OR 97204

Oregon Insider Newsletter
P.O. Box 11586
Eugene, OR 97440

Oregon League of Women Voters
2659 Commercial St. S.E., Suite 220
Salem, OR 97302

Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board
255 Capitol St. N.E., Third Floor
Salem, OR 97310-0203

Oregon Rural Development Council
P.O. Box 40204
Portland, Oregon 97240

Association of Clean Water Agencies
537 S.W. Ash St., Suite 12
Portland, OR 97214-1159

E.S. Director
Rural Assistance Corporation
2125 19th St. Suite 203
Sacramento, CA 95818

Regional Director
USDA Rural Development Administration
1220 S.W. 3rd Ave.
Portland, OR 97204

League of Oregon Cities
P.O. Box 928
Salem, OR 97038